Neanderthal Children?

Remember the common phrase, “If I didn’t see it I wouldn’t believe it.” This article is a classic case of, “If I didn’t believe it I wouldn’t see it.” Numerous juvenile Neanderthal skull fragments and teeth have been examined by various paleontologists who hold the evolutionary belief that all things continue as they have since the beginning, including the rate of development in modern children. In some cases, however, evolutionist assume that these Neanderthal children developed faster than we do today, a belief based on the idea that they were more ape-like and therefore developed like animals. Never do they concede to any possibility that development could have been slower, as Genesis suggests, even when the evidence warrants this interpretation.

Dr. John William Cuozzo from Glen Ridge, New Jersey, has traveled around the world researching these fossilized remains and has found a continual pattern of “rearranging” evidence to fit the evolutionary theories.

One example is the Gibraltar child from the British Museum in London, England. Dr. Cuozzo found that a reconstruction error had taken place in the jaw; it had been pushed out about 10mm and rotated forward by 7-8 degrees in order to make it appear older (in keeping with the “animal like,” developmental theory expected for Neanderthal). The reason for this change was that the skull seemed relatively larger than expected. In other words, the skull was too old for such an underdeveloped jaw. To accept the evidence implies that this child was maturing at a very slow rate, a philosophical error for the evolutionist.
Much of the same “reconstructive” evidence is apparent in the Engis Child of Belgium, the Pech Child of France, and the Le Moustier Youth of Berlin. In all cases, evidence was revamped to fit the evolutionary belief and was published as such, leading the scientific community to believe that this theory of accelerated development was, indeed, fact.

We know that people lived much longer during the pre-flood era and in all likelihood, as the unaltered evidence suggests, developed at a much slower rate. Remember, Noah was 601 years old when he left the ark. "Keep the faith!"


Creation: Foundation for Christ!

Recently someone made the statement that Creation was not important if you simply believe in Christ as your Savior. This woman stated that she did not believe in evolution (or so she thinks) but did believe in a very old earth. Too often this view is what many churches argue today; thereby, they confine themselves to the ways of the world, falling farther and farther from the wisdom of Scripture.

“Therefore go and make disciples of all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit” (Matthew 28:19). This passage is important to remember as you consider the importance of Creation in your life because, while evolution may not weaken your faith, the person next to you may
experience spiritual havoc. At one time, I considered myself to be well-grounded in my faith; then, it hit me at the public university where, I discovered my faith was not built upon as solid a foundation as I once thought. After hearing all the “wise” professors with their doctorates telling me the earth is billions of years old, the things my mother and father taught me became less valid. After all, they did not know science like these people did. This trap exists today, putting our children in grave danger. We must always remember that God’s wisdom is far above our human wisdom; and therefore, we must interpret science according to Scripture, not Scripture according to science.

The point is, nobody is immune to the deadly virus of evolution as it runs its course through society, entering the homes of every family through the TV, newspaper, magazines, radio, and even the schools. We must prepare our children for the false religion of evolution and show them how true science supports Scripture in every detail, not to prove the Bible but to make our foundation stronger (the Bible never needs proving). If our children are not well grounded in these matters, their lives may be dangerously infected by this virus. Christ our Savior either destroys evolution or is destroyed by it because the two cannot coexist. Hebrews 9:22 declares, “Without the shedding of blood there can be no remission of sins.” At the same time we find in Romans 6:23 that, "the wages of sin is death but the gift of God is eternal life in Christ Jesus our Lord.” If the consequences of sin is death, we must die in order to pay or our sins. Likewise, there can be no remission of sins without the shedding of blood (death). These truths are repeated throughout the Scriptures. Yet, if evolution and the old earth theory is correct, the Scriptures must be a lie. Evolution states death and disease was the catalyst that brought about man’s existence, (Survival of the fittest), yet Scripture declares that man's existence brought about death and disease. How can one reconcile these two opposites? If death was around for millions and billions of years before man had ever evolved, the wages of sin is not death and Christ’s sacrifice on
the cross loses all significance.

Maybe this woman was able to keep her faith in Christ’s redemptive work while at the same time embracing the doctrine of evolution, but what about those impressionable young children who are growing up with these theories taught as fact in many of the public (and unfortunately some Christian) school systems? If we are willing to compromise Scripture in order to subscribe to this worldly wisdom, how much more will our children be apt to do the same. ALL the evidence points toward Creation. We simply need to take the time to find the answers. But remember, “We will not hide them from their children; we will tell the next generation the praiseworthy deeds of the LORD, his power, and the wonders he has made,” (Ps. 78:4) and also, “Fathers to thy children shall make known thy truth” (Is. 38:19).